

40/40

Dan Portz
18.100b PS4

1. a. Observe that

$$x_{n+1} - \sqrt{a} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} + 2\sqrt{a} \right) - \frac{1}{2x_n} (x_n^2 + 2\sqrt{a}x_n + a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2x_n} (x_n + \sqrt{a})^2$$

We can show inductively that x_n is greater than \sqrt{a} . The base case is given. Next assume $x_n > \sqrt{a}$. Then $x_n > 0$. $(x_n + \sqrt{a})^2 > 0$ since it is a square. So $\frac{(x_n + \sqrt{a})^2}{2x_n} > 0 \Rightarrow x_{n+1} - \sqrt{a} > 0 \Rightarrow x_{n+1} > \sqrt{a}$. By induction, $x_n > \sqrt{a} \forall n$.

Next we show that $x_{n+1} < x_n$. Note that since

$$x_n > \sqrt{a}, \frac{a}{x_n} < \sqrt{a} < x_n \Rightarrow \text{So } x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right)$$

is less than $\frac{1}{2}(x_n + x_n) = x_n$. That is, $x_{n+1} < x_n$.

So $\{x_n\}$ is monotonically decreasing and is bounded below by \sqrt{a} . It must therefore converge to some point $p = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$.

We will show that $p = \sqrt{a}$. Clearly p is not less than \sqrt{a} , since every $x_n > \sqrt{a}$. Suppose $p > \sqrt{a}$. Then $p = \sqrt{a} + \delta$ (for some $\delta > 0$). Then for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a $x_n < p + \epsilon = \sqrt{a} + \delta + \epsilon$ (we do not need absolute values since $\{x_n\}$ is monotonically decreasing). Then consider $x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{a}{x_n} \right)$

$$0 < \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{a} + \delta + \epsilon + \frac{a}{\sqrt{a} + \delta + \epsilon} \right) < \frac{1}{2} \left(\sqrt{a} + \delta + \epsilon + \sqrt{a} \right) = \sqrt{a} + \frac{\delta + \epsilon}{2}$$

OPTION

decreasing and converges to p , so p cannot be greater than \sqrt{d} . Thus $p = \sqrt{d}$.

$$b. \quad \epsilon_n = x_n - \sqrt{d}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{n+1} &= x_{n+1} - \sqrt{d} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_n + \frac{d}{x_n} \right) - \sqrt{d} = \frac{1}{2x_n} (x_n^2 + d) - \sqrt{d} \\ &= \frac{1}{2x_n} (x_n^2 - 2\sqrt{d}x_n + d) = \frac{1}{2x_n} (x_n - \sqrt{d})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2x_n} \epsilon_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_n > \sqrt{d}$ (from part a),

$$\epsilon_{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{2\sqrt{d}}$$

Letting $\beta = 2\sqrt{d}$, we show by induction that $\epsilon_{n+1} < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^n}$.

First, the base case: $\epsilon_2 < \frac{\epsilon_1^2}{2\sqrt{d}} = \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^2 = \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^1}$.

Next, assume $\epsilon_n < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^{n-1}}$. Then,

$$\epsilon_{n+1} < \frac{\epsilon_n^2}{\beta} = \frac{1}{\beta} \beta^2 \left[\left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^{n-1}} \right]^2 = \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^n}$$

So $\epsilon_{n+1} < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$!

c. Let $d=3 \Rightarrow \beta = 2\sqrt{3}$, $x_1 = 2$

$$\epsilon_1 = x_1 - \sqrt{d} = 2 - \sqrt{3} \approx 0.268$$

$$\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta} = \frac{2-\sqrt{3}}{2\sqrt{3}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} - \frac{1}{2} \approx 0.078 < \frac{1}{10}$$

$$\text{So } \epsilon_5 < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^4} < 2\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{2^4} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{10^{16}} < 4 \cdot 10^{-16}$$

since $2\sqrt{3} < 4$

$$\epsilon_6 < \beta \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{\beta}\right)^{2^5} < 2\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{2^5} = \frac{2\sqrt{3}}{10^{32}} < 4 \cdot 10^{-32}$$

✓

1010

2. Let $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ be Cauchy sequences in a metric space X . Note that $\forall m \forall n$,

$$d(p_n, q_n) \leq d(p_n, p_m) + d(p_m, q_m) + d(q_m, q_n) \\ \Rightarrow d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m) \leq d(p_n, p_m) + d(q_n, q_m).$$

So we can show that $\{d(p_n, q_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. given some $\epsilon > 0$ we will

$$\text{show that } \exists N : \forall m \geq N \forall n \geq N \quad |d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m)| \\ = |d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m)| < \epsilon. \quad \text{Proof:}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since $\{p_n\}$ and $\{q_n\}$ are Cauchy, we can find a N_1 : $\forall n, m \geq N_1 \quad d(p_n, p_m) < \epsilon/2$, and similarly a N_2 : $\forall n, m \geq N_2 \quad d(q_n, q_m) < \epsilon/2$.

So let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Then from above

$$|d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m)| \leq d(p_n, p_m) + d(q_n, q_m) \\ \leq \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 \quad \text{for } m, n > N$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(p_n, q_n) - d(p_m, q_m)| < \epsilon$$

$\Rightarrow \{d(p_n, q_n)\}$ is Cauchy

Since $\{d(p_n, q_n)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{R} , it converges.

✓

10/10

10/15

3. a. Denote this equivalence relation as \sim .

It is reflexive: $\forall \{p_n\} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, p_n) = 0$

b) the metric axioms

It is symmetric: Suppose $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n) = 0$

By the metric axioms, $d(p_n, q_n) = d(q_n, p_n)$ so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(q_n, p_n) = 0$

$\Rightarrow q \sim p$ if $p \sim q$.

It is transitive: Suppose $p \sim q$ and $q \sim r$. Then

we show $p \sim r$. Consider $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, r_n)$. This

is less than $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n) + d(q_n, r_n)$ by the triangle inequality, and both terms go to zero in the limit

since $p \sim q$ and $q \sim r$, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, r_n) = 0 \Rightarrow p \sim r$.

So it is an equivalence relation.

b. Given $P, Q \in X^*$, $\{p_n\} \in P$, and $\{q_n\} \in Q$,

let $\{p'_n\} \in P$ be another equivalent sequence, and

similarly $\{q'_n\} \in Q$. We would like to show that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p'_n, q'_n)$. Applying the triangle inequality; $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, p'_n) + d(p'_n, q'_n) + d(q'_n, q_n)$

Since $\{p_n\} \sim \{p'_n\}$ and $\{q_n\} \sim \{q'_n\}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, p'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(q_n, q'_n) = 0$

from part a. so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p'_n, q'_n)$.

Making the same arguments, we find that

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p'_n, q'_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p'_n, p_n) + d(p_n, q_n) + d(q_n, q'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n)$.

So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p'_n, q'_n)$. $\Delta(P, Q)$ is well-defined.

We next show Δ is a metric by checking the axioms:

1) $\Delta(P, P) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, p_n) = 0$

$$3) \Delta(P, R) \leq \Delta(P, Q) + \Delta(Q, R)$$

$$\Delta(P, R) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, r_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(p_n, q_n) + d(q_n, r_n))$$

by the triangle inequality and

$$\leq \Delta(P, Q) + \Delta(Q, R) \text{ by def.}$$

So Δ is a metric.

c) Consider any Cauchy sequence $\{P_n\}$ in (X^*, Δ) .
 Choose a representative $\{p_n\}$ for each P_n . Since each $\{P_n\}$ is Cauchy, we can find a K_n such that $\forall k, l \geq K_n$,
 $d(p_k, p_l) < 1/n$. So we can define a sequence $\{q_n\}$
 as $q_n = p_{K_n}$. Consider $d(q_n, q_m)$. By the triangle
 inequality, $d(q_n, q_m) \leq d(q_n, p_{K_n}) + d(p_{K_n}, p_{K_m}) + d(p_{K_m}, q_m)$
 $\leq 1/n + \Delta(P_n, P_m) + 1/m$
 by our definitions of q_n and Δ .

Therefore $\{q_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) . Given
 any $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a N_1 such that $\forall n, m > N_1$,
 $1/n + 1/m < \epsilon/2$ and a N_2 such that $\forall n, m > N_2$,
 $\Delta(P_n, P_m) < \epsilon/2$ since $\{P_n\}$ is Cauchy in (X^*, Δ) . So

let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, then $\forall n, m > N$, $d(q_n, q_m)$
 $\leq 1/n + \Delta(P_n, P_m) + 1/m < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon$. So $\{q_n\}$ is Cauchy.

Thus $\{Q_n\}$ is in some equivalence class

$Q \in X^*$. For any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a N
 such that $\forall n, m \geq N$, $d(q_n, q_m) < \epsilon$. Then $\forall n \geq N$,
 $\forall m \geq \max\{N, K_n\}$

$d(p_n, q_m) < d(p_n, q_n) + d(q_n, q_m)$ by triangle inequality

Thus $\forall n \geq N, \Delta(P_n, Q) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(P_n, q_m)$

(from above, since m is arbitrarily large).

So P_n converges to Q in (X^*, Δ) for any

$\epsilon > 0$ we can find an N sufficiently large that

$\forall n \geq N, \frac{1}{n} < \epsilon/2$ and $\forall n, m \geq N, d(q_n, q_m) < \epsilon/2$.

Then $\Delta(P_n, Q) < \frac{1}{n} + \epsilon/2 < \epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$.

So for any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a N such that

$\forall n \geq N, \Delta(P_n, Q) < \epsilon \Rightarrow P_n$ converges to Q
in (X^*, Δ)

nd. Let $P \in X$ and $P_0 \in X^*$ such that P_0 contains
a sequence $\{P_n\}$ where every term P_n and P_0
intersects $Q \in X, \{q_n\} \in P_0 \in X^*$. Then

$\Delta(P, P_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(P, q_n)$ by definition of Δ

(since we can choose any representative
sequences from P and P_0).

$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(P, q)$ by def of P_n and q_n

$= d(P, q)$

ex. Any point in X^* is either a point in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ or a
limit point of $\mathcal{P}(X)$. Consider $P \in X^*$.

If P 's equivalence class contains a constant

sequence, then that constant sequence is $\mathcal{P}(q)$

for some $q \in X$. Then P is not a limit point.

P. Given any $\epsilon > 0$ we can find a N such that $\forall m, n \geq N$ $d(p_m, p_n) < \epsilon$ since $\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy. So take some $k \geq N$ and construct the constant sequence $\{p_{p_n}\} = \{p_k, p_k, p_k, \dots\}$. Then $\Delta(p, p_{p_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, p_{p_n}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, p_k)$. In the limit, when $n \geq N$, this is less than ϵ (as shown above). So any point in X^* is either a point or a limit point of $\mathcal{P}(X)$; $\mathcal{P}(X)$ is dense in X^* .

If X is complete, then $\mathcal{P}(X) = X$. First, note that for any $p \in X$, $\mathcal{P}(p)$ is a constant sequence, so it is a Cauchy sequence so $\mathcal{P}(p) \in X^*$. Thus $\mathcal{P}(X) \subseteq X^*$. Next consider some element $p \in X^*$. It can be represented by some Cauchy sequence in X , $\{p_n\}$. Since X is complete, $\{p_n\}$ converges to $q \in X$. Then consider the Cauchy sequence with all terms equal to q , $\{q\}$. Since $\{p_n\}$ converges to q , $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(p_n, q) = 0 \Rightarrow p_n$ is in the same equivalence class as q . Since $\{q\} \in \mathcal{P}(X)$ and p is a representation of p and p was an arbitrary point in X^* , $X^* \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$. So $X^* = \mathcal{P}(X)$ if X is complete.

4. a. d_p satisfies the metric axioms:

1) If $x \neq y$, $\dots d(x, y) = \frac{1}{p^{t(x-y)}}$. Since $t(x-y)$ is an integer, $\frac{1}{p^{t(x-y)}} > 0 \Rightarrow d(x, y) > 0$.

If $x = y$, $x - y = 0$ and $d(x, y) = |0|_p = 0$

2) Note that $t(n) = t(-n)$: if $n = p^{t(n)} m$,
 $-n = p^{t(n)} (-m)$ since -1 is not divisible by p ,

$$\text{Thus } d(x, y) = |x - y|_p = p^{-t(x-y)} = p^{-t(-(x-y))} = p^{-t(y-x)} \\ = |y - x|_p = d(y, x)$$

3) Note that for integers a, b , $t(a+b) \geq \min\{t(a), t(b)\}$ because they

can be written $a = p^{t(a)} m$ and $b = p^{t(b)} n$ for m, n that do not divide p . Assume w.l.o.g. $t(a) \geq t(b)$. Then

$$a = p^{t(b)} p^{t(a)-t(b)} m, \text{ and } a+b = p^{t(b)} [p^{t(a)-t(b)} m + n]$$

By our assumption, $t(a) - t(b)$ is non-negative, so

$t(a+b) \geq t(b)$. If $t(a) < t(b)$, then we simply swap a and b , that is, $t(a+b) \geq \min\{t(a), t(b)\}$.

Also note that, trivially, $t(ab) = t(a) + t(b)$

Next consider $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Write $x = a/b, y = c/d$.

$$\text{Then } x+y = \frac{ad+bc}{bd} \dots$$

$$t(x+y) = t(ad+bc) - t(bd) = t(ad+bc) - t(b) - t(d) \\ \geq \min\{t(ad), t(bc)\} - t(b) - t(d)$$

$$\geq \min\{t(a)+t(d), t(b)+t(c)\} - t(b) - t(d)$$

$$\geq \min\{t(a) - t(b), t(c) - t(d)\}$$

So $|x+y|_p = \frac{1}{p^{t(x+y)}} \ll \max \{t(x), t(y)\}$ since the inequality is reversed when the $p^{t(x+y)}$ is moved to the denominator (maximizing the denominator minimizes the function).

$$d_p(x, y) = |x - y|_p = |(x - z) + (z - y)|_p \\ \ll \max \{ |x - z|_p, |z - y|_p \} \text{ from above} \\ = \max \{ d_p(x, z), d_p(z, y) \} \text{ by def}$$

So d_p satisfies the ultrametric triangle inequality; it clearly also satisfies the normal inequality

$$d_p(x, y) \leq d_p(x, z) + d_p(z, y) \quad \checkmark$$

d_p satisfies the 3 metric axioms, so it is a metric.

b) The sequence $(P_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in (\mathbb{Q}, d_p)

to 1. For any ϵ , we can find a N such that

$$\forall n > N, d_p(P_n, 1) < \epsilon \Rightarrow |P_n - 1|_2 < \epsilon \Rightarrow |2^n + 1 - 1|_2 < \epsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow |2^n|_2 < \epsilon \Rightarrow \frac{1}{2^n} < \epsilon \Rightarrow 1 < 2^n \epsilon \Rightarrow 2^n > 1/\epsilon.$$

So we simply need to choose N large enough

that $2^N > 1/\epsilon$, i.e. $N > \log_2(1/\epsilon)$. With this

$$\forall N, \forall n > N, d_p(P_n, 1) < \epsilon.$$

$$\text{So } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n = 1 \quad \checkmark$$

10/10